

## CMS Proposed Rule Regarding E/M Visit Payments and Documentation

On July 12, 2018, CMS proposed a number of payment and documentation changes to reduce administrative burden and eliminate “misvaluation” of codes for outpatient Evaluation & Management (E/M) visits furnished under the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule (PFS). Two of the proposed changes are outlined below and will fundamentally alter how CMS pays for E/M visits and how providers document those encounters. The comment period ends September 10, 2018. The final rule will take effect January 1, 2019.

E/M VISIT REIMBURSEMENT	
Current Rule	Proposed Rule
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Five-tiered coding structure based on visit complexity</li> <li>✓ Documentation required to support each code</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Would pay providers same rate for all E/M visits coded Level 2 to Level 5</li> <li>✓ Proposed blended rate - \$135 for new patients and \$93 for established ones</li> <li>✓ Includes add-on codes to capture services beyond what a standard visit might involve</li> </ul>
STREAMLINED DOCUMENTATION AND PROVIDER CHOICE	
Current Rule	Proposed Rule
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Require providers to document text that adds no value to patient care</li> <li>✓ Providers waste valuable time “clicking through screens and copying and pasting”</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Offers providers more flexibility to conform their documentation to the needs of their practices</li> <li>✓ Providers would be able to use one of three methods to determine appropriate level for any E/M visit:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) the current framework under the 1995 or 1997 documentation guidelines;</li> <li>(2) medical decision-making; or</li> <li>(3) time</li> </ul> </li> <li>✓ The rule would establish a minimum documentation requirement with an interval-focused history and exam</li> <li>✓ Physicians could simply verify some information entered into the record by an ancillary staff member or a beneficiary instead of re-entering that data</li> </ul>
IMPACT OF PROPOSED REGULATIONS	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Impact will vary based on provider specialty, setting, and patient population</li> <li>✓ May disadvantage clinicians who provide more complex care</li> <li>✓ Majority of specialties, CMS predicts a change of less than 3%</li> <li>✓ CMS suspects the biggest winners would be OB/GYN specialists and nurse practitioners, who could enjoy 4% and 3% gains, respectively</li> <li>✓ According to CMS, podiatrists, dermatologists, and rheumatologists fare the worst under the model, with expected decreases in payments of 4%, 4%, and 3%</li> <li>✓ CMS predicts the reduced administrative burden will make up for any reduction in reimbursement rates</li> <li>✓ CMS estimates proposed changes would save an individual provider 51 hours per year, if 40% of his or her patients are Medicare beneficiaries</li> <li>✓ CMS predicts that practices’ administrative costs would decline because the new blended payment would eliminate the need to audit against codes</li> </ul>	
For more information, please contact Jennifer Fisher at (919) 861-5094 or <a href="mailto:Jennifer.Fisher@youngmoorelaw.com" style="color: white;">Jennifer.Fisher@youngmoorelaw.com</a> .	

**Related Links:**

- ✓ Letter to Doctors from CMS Administrator Seema Verma: <https://www.mywcms.org/getattachment/96a5940f-dbe2-4e1e-9340-7af9d2b7b11a/CENTERS-FOR-MEDICARE.pdf?lang=en-US>
- ✓ Proposed rule text: <https://s3.amazonaws.com/public-inspection.federalregister.gov/2018-14985.pdf>
- ✓ CMS Fact Sheet for Proposed Changes to PFS: <https://www.cms.gov/Newsroom/MediaReleaseDatabase/Fact-sheets/2018-Fact-sheets-items/2018-07-12-2.html>